

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
9 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

) MDL No. 1917

) Case No. C-07-5944-SC

10 _____
11 This Order Relates To:

12 COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 HITACHI LTD., et al.,

16 Defendants.
17 _____

) ORDER DENYING PHILIPS
) DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE
) MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENTS
) PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL
) RULES 7-11 and 79-5(d)

18 On May 9, 2013, the Philips Defendants filed an Administrative
19 Motion to Seal Documents Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-
20 5(d). ECF No. 1667 ("Philips Mot."). The Philips Defendants filed
21 their motion under Local Rule 79-5(d), which in this case requires
22 them to file certain documents under seal because Plaintiff Costco
23 Wholesale Corporation ("Costco") marked them confidential per this
24 case's protective order. Rule 79-5(d) gave Costco seven days after
25 the Philips Motion's filing to file a declaration establishing that
26 the designated documents meet the requirements for sealing. Costco
27 did not file the required declaration.

28 ///

1 Accordingly, per Local Rule 79-5(d), the Court DENIES the
2 Philips Motion. The Court STAYS this order for fifteen days after
3 its signature date to allow Costco time to file a declaration, if
4 it so chooses. If that date passes, the Philips Defendants are to
5 file the documents as part of the public record per Local Rule 79-
6 5(d).

7
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9
10 Dated: May 29, 2013



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE